Learning from the Evaluation of the EU's CCS Directive/Policy for the Netherlands

Hans Bolscher

WTC Rotterdam meeting 12-11-2014



Evaluation of the CCS Directive

Goal of the evaluation:

- Evaluation of the CCS Directive: Fit for purpose?
- But also looking at the enabling Policy:
 What is needed?
- Together with TNO and Ricardo-AEA
- See: www.ccs-directive-evaluation.EU





Evaluation of the CCS Directive

Our approach:

- ☐ An <u>inclusive process</u> with all relevant stakeholders.
- Methodological pillars:
 - Literature review
 - Stakeholder consultations
 - Case studies
- ☐ Interested stakeholders participate in the review through:
 - Online questionnaire
 - Interviews
 - Stakeholder meeting (8 Sept and 7 Nov)
 - Focus groups (13 15 Oct)





Main Recommendation

- □ All draft not final yet
- ☐ Our main recommendation will be NOT to open the Directive for full review at this stage.
- ☐ This is because there has not yet been enough experience or learning on CCS in Europe to justify this.
- ☐ Still, some aspects related to the Directive can benefit from review.
- ☐ Two issues stand out as most relevant:
 - Guidance Document 4 (GD4) needs to be altered.
 - Article 33 needs strengthening.





General CCS Directive Issues

1. Not open review now

2. Do not include CDU in the same discussion

Capture-Related Issues in the CCS Directive

- 1. Article 33 on Capture Readiness is not clear/strong enough. Needs better implementation extension to industry
- 2. Article 38 speaks of EPS ...
 We concluded: it should not be a discussion under the Review But it should be under 'enabling policy'
- 3. Bio-CCS: relevant: for policy and ETS not for Directive
- 4.Industrial CCS: Very relevant, but more for policy

Storage-Related Issues in the CCS Directive 1

- 1. Article 10: Eu-MS permitting proces: keep as it is
- 2. EHR: in Directive OK in policy more attention
- 3. Permance: keep the wording
- 4. Transboundary CO2 transport: London protocol really needs attention

Storage-Related Issues in the CCS Directive 2

5. The Articles 17-20: Financial aspects

These articles deal with closure and post-closure obligations, transfer of responsibility, financial security and financial mechanisms:

Conclusion: Major issue!

But....directive is flexible enough

6. Guidance document 4: To alarming and to restrictive, needs rewording

Evaluation of the EU's CCS enabling policy

Recommended actions





CCS enabling policy

- ☐ Europe is well behind and no longer leading
- □ Lack of demos
- ☐ Low EUA price

Recommendation:

Stronger support at MS and EU level

- General governance and CCS Roadmap (Theme 1)
- Financial support of CCS (Theme 2'carrots')
- Regulatory support of CCS (Theme 3 including 'sticks')

Guiding principles for support measures:

- Technology neutrality
- EC and MS complementarity
- Coherence of short and long term measures





1. General governance and CCS Roadmap

Recommendations:

- A. EC to propose to MSs that they develop national 2050 low carbon (minus 80%) roadmaps
- B. To develop an EU CCS Roadmap
- C. To better incorporate CCS in the EU 2030 Framework for Climate and Energy
- D. To develop more in-depth knowledge on storage location





2. Financial support for CCS (the 'carrots')

Recommendations:

- A. More funding for early stage:
 - A 1 To continue research (Horizon 2020)
 - A 2 Expand EU funding for CCS demonstration projects (NER 400)
 - NB But much stronger MS funding for CCS
- B. To strengthen the business case for CCS deployment
 - B 1 Higher CO₂ price via ETS in the long term
 - B 2 Operational support in early years of commercial deployment

3. Regulatory support for CCS (including some 'sticks')

Recommendations:

- A. To strengthen CO₂ capture retrofit provision (Article 33)
- B. To consider EPS standard for implementation before 2030
- C. To make CCS mandatory for power and/or large industries

Lessons for the Netherlands:

1. Do we really need or want CCS and by when...?

Roadmap 2050 Energie Akkoord

2. What support is needed

Regulatory.....good

Research good

Financialbad

Public support/understanding..... bad





Recommendations for the Netherlands:

- 1. Talk publically about the need for CCS
- 2. Support RES more and more effectively
- 3. Put Your Money where Your Mouth Is
- 4. Do not mingle Carbon Dioxide Utilization with CCS
- 5. Force ROAD to get started

Thank you for your attention Any questions?

Hans.Bolscher@Tripleeconsulting.com

www.tripleeconsulting.com

www.ccs-directive-evaluation.eu

www.scotproject.org





